UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/484,260 | 01/18/2000 | Thomas C. Gipson | P-355.5(REISSSUE) | 9484 |
| | 7590 09/02/200 & JAWORSKI, LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1301 MCKINNEY | | | SUCHFIELD | , GEORGE A |
| SUITE 5100 HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3676 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/02/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/484,260 | GIPSON, THOMAS C. |
| Examiner-induced interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | GEORGE SUCHFIELD | 3676 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: pen | <u>ding</u> |
| (1) <u>GEORGE SUCHFIELD</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Michael S. McCoy</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 5 February 2008 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None, specifically | | |
| Claims discussed: None, specifically | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | DISCUSSED: |
| It was pointed out by the examiner that no response had been rec whereupon the attorney indicated that applicant intended to aban | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appropriate) |